



Leelanau County Government Center

Leelanau County Land Bank Authority (LC-LBA)

Website: <http://www.leelanau.gov/landbank.asp>

8527 E. Government Center Dr.
Suttons Bay MI 49682 231-256-9838

NOTICE OF MEETING

The Leelanau County Land Bank Authority (LC-LBA) will
meet **On Tuesday December 19, 2023 at 9:00 am**
at the
Leelanau County Government Center

DRAFT AGENDA

PLEASE TURN OFF ALL CELL PHONES

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

APPROVAL OF MINUTES November 21, 2023 **pgs. 2-6**

PUBLIC COMMENT

➤ UNFINISHED BUSINESS

➤ DISCUSSION/ ACTION ITEMS

1. Approval of 2024 Proposed Budget **pgs.7-8**
2. Budget Amendment 23-01 **pg. 9**
3. Homestretch Proposal / Affordable Housing **pgs. 10-22**
4. Marek Road Invoice **pg. 23**
5. Hold Harmless Update
6. CDBG 276 Non-Program Income Request **pgs. 24-26**
7. Any Other Business

CLAIMS & ACCOUNTS

POST AUDIT

CORRESPONDENCE/COMMUNICATION ITEMS

PUBLIC COMMENT

MEMBER COMMENTS

CHAIRPERSON COMMENTS

ADJOURN

Members

John A. Gallagher III – Chair
Dan Heinz – Vice Chair
-- Secretary
Rick Foster -- Treasurer
Deb Allen
Richard Isphording
Gwenne Allgaier

A regular meeting of the Leelanau County Land Bank Authority (LCLBA) was held on Tuesday, November 21, 2023 at the Leelanau County Government Center.

CALL TO ORDER

Meeting was called to order at 9:02 am by Chair Gallagher who led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: G. Allgaier, D. Allen, J. Gallagher, D. Heinz

Members Absent: R. Foster, T. Galla
(prior notice)

Members Absent: R. Isphording

Public Present: J. Stimson, L. Mawby, T. Searles, J. Lamier, S. Mitchell

Staff Present: G. Myer, Senior Planner

APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Heinz stated that he wanted to add the following items under “DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS”:

- Discussion on Treasurer’s Office /hold harmless policy on the tax foreclosure properties
- Discussion on Brewery Creek Property
- Status check on New Waves Development
- Discussion at the state level on an EDC

Motion by Allgaier, seconded by Allen, to approve the agenda as amended. Motion carried 4-0.

APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 19, 2023 MINUTES

Heinz pointed out a couple spelling errors.

Motion by Allgaier, seconded by Allen, to approve the minutes as amended. Motion carried 4-0.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mawby said he supports the request of HomeStretch, which is agenda Item #1, a, b and c.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Update Habitat for Humanity-Cedar home

Gallagher updated members, saying that Habitat for Humanity decided to keep the parcel contiguous and not separate out the side lot. As a result, the LBA did not need to pay Habitat for

Humanity \$15,000.00 that would have been secured by a mortgage on the side lot.

Update-Cherry Wood Ct. property listing

Gallagher said the property had been listed for 28 days, for \$24,900.00 and he has not had any activity or solicitations.

Tour of Marek Rd. property-Dec. 7th or 8th.

Stimson said the tour is dependent upon getting the buildings ready. They are hopeful that they will be ready by the 7th or 8th.

DISCUSSION/ ACTION ITEMS

HomeStretch

Marek Rd. matched grant request

Gallagher explained that this was a follow up request from last year for a 1/3 matching grant. HomeStretch requested funds to be used toward the Marek Rd. and M-204 intersection improvements. These improvements were mandated by the Leelanau County Road Commission, who approved 1/3 match. The Suttons Bay Township Board approved the matching funds contingent upon approval of the road commission participation in the project. Gallagher said the formal request for the matching funds never came before the board as an agreement between the three entities.

Heinz commented that he doesn't know from a legal standpoint if they can do this. They deeded the property to HomeStretch, if they give them the \$15,000.00 now, it would be considered a charitable contribution. Gallagher said he agrees, they have to carefully review this and present it to corporate counsel. The timing is an issue, they no longer own the property, and the work has been completed.

Stimson said the money should go to Suttons Bay Township. Even before the property was transferred, the request was for property outside of your ownership at the time. Gallagher said this was a fair point, it was an easement. Heinz said it still was to enhance our property. Stimson stated that the property couldn't have been improved without that improvement. Gallagher requested that Stimson circle back and see who this request should be coming from, Homestretch or the township. Stimson agreed to do some homework on the back end. Gallagher said the request is warranted; it just needs clarification. Allgaier agreed with the need for more clarification. Gallagher will bring back potentially next month.

Burce and Betsy Price property partnership

Stimson, along with Jim Lamier, from HomeStretch, spoke, saying they offered this letter of

intent to purchase a portion of their property contingent upon zoning for multi-family. HomeStretch is poised to do perk tests, and see how much it would cost to rehab the building. There may be a second request later on because of this rehab. They can do a PUD (planned unit development) under the current zoning or get it rezoned. It will probably need a new sewer and well. Stimson said they are interested in finding out how to go forward without representing the LBA. Lamier said the site has been used as a dumping ground for many years. The roof looks pretty bad, they might be able to save the concrete floors and walls.

Discussion ensued on the condition of the buildings.

Heinz questioned if they would need a Phase I and II. Stimson said a Phase I at least, and a Phase II if necessary. Heinz questioned if they would be able to stay with Fishbeck on their own dime. Stimson said yes, Fishbeck is a great firm. Stimson pointed out that there is a new contract with the correct date, November 1, 2024. Heinz stated that the Leelanau County Brownfield Redevelop Authority (BRA) might be able to help through an EPA Assessment Grant.

Gallagher questioned what Stimson was looking for today in order to move this forward, because he would like to support this. It could potentially be eligible for the 3rd round of blight elimination grant money. Heinz said they don't have to be a joint owner with them. They wouldn't need to be fiscally responsible for the project. Allgaier said she is in support of HomeStretch. Gallagher suggested a letter from him in support to HomeStretch. Stimson said that would help to show the owner, and the township for the zoning request. Gallagher will draft a letter of support. Stimson said they have one year to come up with the funds of the purchase price.

RFP presentation on four properties LBA listed for sale

Stimson spoke on the four parcels and Lamier passed out a handout to members which he then reviewed. Stimson mentioned the site plans in the packet, to give a visual/idea of what could be done. Overall, the idea to portray, is that grants are available in February that they can tap into. His concern is if they build one of these, people within the 80% AMI bracket can't afford it. Stimson said one option is a hybrid program where they would create a lease program lasting from three to five years. After this time, the resident would be eligible to purchase the home using a portion of the rents set aside for down payment assistance. Stimson concluded by saying that it is a hard uphill battle to get them occupied. They can manage them either way, sell or rent.

Allen asked if there was language prohibiting a land contract? Stimson said a Land Contract stipulates that a person has the option to purchase at any time. It is a possibility; they could see on a case-by-case basis. Stimson spoke on mortgages being able to be sold to a secondary market and the difficulty with that. Stimson said they are trying to do as minimal construction on their part as they can, because the properties are scattered. Gallagher mentioned deed restrictions once they get that far. Would a new buyer start another 15yrs. or assume the balance of the 15yrs.? Stimson said he would like them to start another 15yrs. Heinz questioned if they would be in need of Brownfield help? Stimson responded yes. Gallagher questioned if it would

make sense to prepare Phase I and II before the LBA transfer the property to HomeStretch. Heinz said it would save HomeStretch money. Gallagher explained that this is why he asked Stimson to come back, so they are all on the same page before they transfer the property. Stimson would like a transfer to both entities, with LBA retaining some interest in the property. Gallagher concluded by saying they need to check into blight elimination funds and the use of the property after it transfers.

Proposed Fishbeck contract for High Street

Gallagher said they wanted to make sure there were no perceived or known conflict of interest. Fishbeck has the depth to approach this without any conflicts.

Short discussion ensued on the memo.

Searles clarified the memo and said they need approval on High St. to move forward on that. Gallagher said they approved the RFP contract pending this. Accepting this today completes this.

Motion by Gallagher, seconded by Allgaier, to accept the memo from Fishbeck stating no conflict of interest, re: LCAO-RFP-2023-008, dated November 7, 2023.

Discussion ensued.

Allen questioned what the intent of the last sentence in the memo was since the intent is to use modular homes on these properties? Searles stated the intent of the memo is to resolve any possible conflict of interest.

Motion on the table carried 4-0.

Discussion on Brewery Creek Property

Gallagher said no work has been done, the state is entertaining an extension.

2024 Budget

Gallagher said he rolled last year's budget forward with no amendments. There is an accounting error that needs to be corrected. Gallagher explained that the contribution from LBA was \$20,000.00 on the REACH properties in Northport. When it returned to the rolls, it didn't hit the books properly. There should have been a different revenue to recognize this revenue.

Motion by Allen, seconded by Allgaier, to approve year-end adjustments for 2023 as presented, to prepare for the audit. Motion carried 4-0.

Hold Harmless Agreement

Gallagher suggests that this be done through the Treasurer's Office to utilize their corporate counsel, not the county's.

New Waves Development

Heinz said Jeff Hawkins, from Fishbeck, was doing research to see what funds are available. Galla hasn't heard back yet. Gallagher said it is on the horizon, and has been in conversations for a few months. He is not comfortable talking numbers yet. There are six or seven lots available.

EDC

Allen said she and Galla met with Tischler who was going to be checking into a couple options to see what kind of funding projects they were looking at, at the state level. She hasn't heard back yet, will take time to look into. Update at the December meeting.

Any other business - None.

CLAIMS & ACCOUNTS – None.

POST AUDIT- None.

CORRESPONDENCE/COMMUNICATION ITEMS - None.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mawby spoke again in favor of HomeStretch.

MEMBER COMMENTS

Allgaier thanked HomeStretch and anyone building affordable housing.

CHAIRPERSON COMMENTS

Gallagher instructed Stimson to let him know if they get the letter of intent signed.

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 10:19 a.m.

2024 BUDGETARY WORKSHEET

Fund 101 General Fund - Land Bank

Leelanau County Land Bank Authority

Department

Period Ending Date: December 31, 2024

Account Number	2020 Audited	2021 Audited	2022 Un-Audited	2023 Year-to-Date	2023 Adopted Budget	2023 Amended Budget Before 5/1/23	2024 Proposed Budget	2024 Department Requests
Account Name								
Fund 101 General Fund - Land Bank								
Fiscal Year 2023								
Revenues								
000000-401.000								
Balance brought forward	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
000000-402.000								
Tax Revenue - TIF	442.59	6,016.27	10,054.18	7,197.87	7,500.00	7,500.00	7,500.00	7,500.00
000000-539.000								
Grant Revenue	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
000000-599.000								
Contribution from County	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
000000-664.000								
Interest	57.47	41.82	36.54	37.51	125.00	125.00	125.00	125.00
000000-669.000								
Misc Reimbursements	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
000000-673.000								
Sale of Asset	200.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
000000-694.000								
Cash over/short	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Revenues Total	700.06	6,058.09	10,090.72	7,236.38	7,625.00	7,625.00	7,625.00	7,625.00
Expenses								
000000-802.000								
Brownfield Site Costs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
000000-810.000								
Bank Charges	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
000000-815.000								
Recording Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	150.00	150.00	150.00	150.00
000000-929.000								
Property Tax Expense	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
000000-990.000								
Debt Payment	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
000000-991.000								
Foreclosed Parcel Expense	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
000000-992.000								
PROPERTY SALE EXPENSE	0.00	0.00	0.00	80,082.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
100101-727.000								
Office Operating	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	200.00	200.00	200.00	200.00
100101-728.000								
Postage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00
100101-800.000								
Attorney Fees	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00

2024 BUDGETARY WORKSHEET

Fund 101 General Fund - Land Bank

Leelanau County Land Bank Authority

Department 100101 Land Bank Board

Period Ending Date: December 31, 2024

Account Number	2020 Audited	2021 Audited	2022 Un-Audited	2023 Year-to-Date	2023 Adopted Budget	2023 Amended Budget Before 5/1/23	2024 Proposed Budget	2024 Department Requests
Account Name								
100101-801.000								
Contractual Services	285.00	0.00	0.00	1,225.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_____
100101-807.000								
Membership and Dues	125.00	0.00	125.00	125.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_____
100101-860.000								
Travel	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,000.00	_____
100101-891.000								
Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,715.00	3,715.00	3,715.00	_____
100101-900.000								
Printing & Publishing	0.00	23.90	0.00	106.20	500.00	500.00	500.00	_____
100101-955.000								
Miscellaneous	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,381.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	_____
100101-960.000								
Education	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	500.00	500.00	500.00	_____
100101-970.000								
Capital Outlay > \$5,000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_____
Expenses Total	410.00	73.90	125.00	82,920.09	7,625.00	7,625.00	7,625.00	_____
	290.06	5,984.19	9,965.72	-75,683.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	_____
Revenues Total	700.06	6,058.09	10,090.72	7,236.38	7,625.00	7,625.00	7,625.00	_____
Expenses Fund Total	410.00	73.90	125.00	82,920.09	7,625.00	7,625.00	7,625.00	_____
Net (Rev/Exp)	290.06	5,984.19	9,965.72	-75,683.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	_____
Grand Total for Revenues	700.06	6,058.09	10,090.72	7,236.38	7,625.00	7,625.00	7,625.00	_____
Grand Total for Expenses	410.00	73.90	125.00	82,920.09	7,625.00	7,625.00	7,625.00	_____
Grand Total Net Rev/Exp	290.06	5,984.19	9,965.72	-75,683.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	_____

LEELANAU COUNTY
 LAND BANK AUTHORITY
 BUDGET AMENDMENT RESOLUTION
 RESOLUTION AMENDING GENERAL FUND BUDGET
 FISCAL YEAR 2023 AMENDMENT NUMBER 23-01

WHEREAS, budgets were adopted by the Land Bank Board on December 19, 2023 to govern the receipts and expenditures of the various County Funds for the next fiscal year of the County, and

WHEREAS, as a result of unanticipated changes in revenues and/or needed expenditures, it is necessary to modify the aforesaid budget; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED, that the aforesaid budget be hereby modified as follows:

REVENUE/EXPENSE BUDGET ACCOUNT	DESCRIPTION	PREVIOUS BUDGET	AMENDED BUDGET	CHANGE +/-
101.000.000.992.000	Property Sale Expense	0.00	80,083.00	80,083.00
101.000.000.401.000	FUND BALANCE FORWARD	0.00	80,083.00	80,083.00

JOHN A. GALLAGHER III



Regional Developer of Affordable Housing

November 15, 2023

County of Leelanau
Treasurer's Office/Land Bank Office
8527 E. Government Drive, Suite 104
Suttons Bay, MI 49682

VIA EMAIL

RE: Marek Road Improvements

Dear Mr. Gallagher,

On September 20, 2022 I appeared before the Leelanau County Land Bank Authority with a formal request for funds to be used toward the Marek Road and M-204 intersection improvements. The improvements were mandated by the Leelanau County Road Commission in response to our plan review for permits to develop the Marek Road Apartments. At that meeting, the members motioned, and passed, to *strongly consider making a 1/3 matching grant toward the work.*

On October 12, 2022 the Suttons Bay Township Board approved funds for the improvements *contingent upon approval of the Road Commission participation in the project.*

On November 22, 2022 the Road Commission unanimously approved a motion *to match the \$15,000 contribution authorized by Suttons Bay Township for Marek Road Improvements.*

Having achieved what was previously recommended by your Members on September 22, I again would like to formally make the same request of \$15,000 toward the improvements.

Thank you for considering this request, I look forward to meeting with you and the Authority on November 21st.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Stimson
Executive Director

Attachments: Draft meeting minutes 9/20/2022, 10/12/2022, 11/22, 2022



A regular meeting of the **Leelanau County Land Bank Authority (LC-LBA)** was held on Tuesday, **September 20** at the Leelanau County Government Center.

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 am by Chairman Gallagher who led the Pledge of Allegiance.

ROLL CALL

Members Present: J. Gallagher, D. Heinz, T. Galla, R. Foster, R. Isphording, C. Janik (9:36 am)

Members Absent: L. Bahle

(Prior Notice)

Public Present: Jon Stimson - Homestretch, David King
Therese Searles, Envirologic (zoom)

CONSIDERATION OF AGENDA

It was moved by Heinz, seconded by Foster to approve the agenda as presented. Motion carried 5-0.

Gallagher handed out a memo from Jon Stimson, Homestretch, dated September 9, a 1-page document on the sale of parcel 001-005-003-40, a list of the 2021 Foreclosure properties of 2018 and prior taxes, and a 1-page Trial Balance Report.

CONSIDERATION OF JULY 19 Minutes

It was moved by Heinz, seconded by Foster to approve the minutes as presented. Motion carried 5-0.

PUBLIC COMMENT - none

UNFINISHED BUSINESS - none

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

1. **Property Update and Discussion:** Brownfield Plan

Gallagher said it has been a slow summer, there are new rules with foreclosures, auctions, and, first right of refusal. He wanted to talk about each of these individually and come out of here today with some sort of plan. The brownfield plan covered all of these properties that were foreclosed in 2021. We sold one in Bingham and have had conversations of pursuing some plan that would overlap these properties for financing, affordable housing, etc. Gallagher wanted to open up the discussion and see if there is interest to pursue that further. What is our direction with the brownfield plan? Gallagher said he was reluctant to pursue it because of a local township that has pushed back and refused it for one parcel, even with so many other organizations supporting the project. We don't have the reception to allow us to use this as a tool. We could approach this as individual plans for each parcel.

Foster was concerned that some of the other townships might take that action as a precedent. On the other hand, he's wondering if we should approach townships with more progressive members who are ready for this. They recognize challenges we face; our schools face and local businesses face for workforce housing and younger families and may be more amenable than some communities that don't see the need for more workforce housing.

Heinz asked Galla about the \$250,000 EPA grant. In the grant application, the budget was for some outreach to go to townships and talk to them about Phase I, Phase II work, and apply to the brownfield. Heinz asked if discussions with the communities could also be in terms of brownfield funds, accessory dwelling units (ADUs), TIF, Land Bank, etc.

Galla replied that provided we meet terms of our grant and requirements, we could do community outreach and cover a variety of topics. We've done it in the past and talked about old commercial buildings, gas stations, etc. that we would assess. She assumed we would take a similar approach with this grant.

Gallagher added we are supposed to be getting \$200,000 in blight elimination from the Michigan State Land Bank Authority, and some of it for administration. Perhaps that could be pooled in the same effort.

Heinz asked if we consider placing only the Timberlee parcel in a brownfield plan, can we use the model brownfield plan that Envirologic set up? Searles replied that it was done as a model and would have to be modified for all the specific elements for the parcel. Ideally, there would be a future development in mind for the parcel. That is good to have in order to consider the eligible costs. The short answer is what Envirologic developed is a model for what TIF might be, but it would have to be put together for a specific brownfield plan.

Gallagher was hesitant to pursue this as none of the parcels are improved or have blight on them. This may not be the group of parcels we want in this brownfield plan.

Heinz said the last time we met there was a question of how many brownfield plans had been done for just single-family homes and the answer was zero. They were done for commercial or multiple family homes. Maybe the numbers just don't work. If we table the brownfield plan, it can be referenced and used if needed. In the meantime, we don't have a plan for the remaining parcels, we haven't done surveys or other work to see if we have a viable plan so we are a long way away.

Galla commented on the legal opinion the county board had requested she seek to see if a brownfield plan could be approved by the county board for capture of just the county TIF, no local TIF. She has received different thoughts on this idea and the legal opinion needs to be obtained and shared. We don't have that yet.

Gallagher noted the consensus was to place the brownfield for these parcels on hold.

Market Rate Lots

Gallagher said the last page in the packet contained his email for information on 3 lots. Of these lots, the estimated range for a sale price was \$39,000 to \$49,000. REMAX is the agent we used in the past for helping us list and sell our parcels. They did inspection last week and did some comps to reach the listing price. He said that cash is king right now and will be with the impending recession. If we can sell them and get cash in our coffers, it puts us in a strong position for next year and we can move forward and do more projects.

Galla asked if we are getting rid of these properties, and just selling them on the open market and not work with non-profit organizations. Gallagher replied these are the ones this body decided were on the edge of acceptability for market rate vs. affordability, just because of the location in the communities. Galla stated she was hesitant to get rid of properties in the land bank. She noted we talked about cash but how many properties in Leelanau County do we actually get on tax foreclosure that don't go through auction or get taken on 1st right of refusal. These are only a couple lots but we could end up with cash in our accounts and no property to deal with. Gallagher replied that was very true. Galla continued, saying we have seen this before because she and Gallagher have spoken about properties that sold in the past, in the Sugar Loaf area and Cedar area, and they are still sitting there vacant. No one has done anything with them. And that's really a disappointment because the expectation was, they were going back on the tax rolls and there was going to be homes built on them, and there was going to be additional tax revenue to local jurisdictions and they are still sitting there vacant. We could have had them and used them for some projects that are so desperately needed.

Heinz asked if the project in Maple City was an outright purchase not a foreclosure and Galla replied, yes.

Heinz said at least two of the properties we have require about 1,100 or 1,200 square feet for a home and that may not be in the affordable range. If sold, the taxes start for county and townships right away so there is that to consider. We keep talking and looking at this every month and moving forward very slowly. The Shocko property – we have over \$2,600 in taxes into it. Gallagher noted he approached the Tribe a while ago and offered it for minimum bid and they wouldn't negotiate. Heinz could see the sensitivity of the issue with the Tribe. Therefore,

maybe it would be a good idea to deed it to them for \$1.00 and get it off our list. Show as a government that we can communicate with another government. There might be another situation in the future where they do this in reverse. Gallagher liked the idea and asked for input.

Isphording asked what role could the local communities play in getting things moving. Maybe selling some properties will allow us to work on bigger projects. These are taking up time. We could use other realtors to come up with ideas. Gallagher replied it won't be an issue to move these and he was confident there is a desire for these properties. Selling won't be the issue. Identifying and setting goals for the properties would be good.

Gallagher felt the Cherry homes properties should go market rate and we could work on the E. Tatch Rd. property.

Foster said he rides his bike through Cherry homes often and there must be ½ dozen properties on the west side that have a real estate sign on them and none of them have moved in the last 6 months. Parcels sold for about \$15,000-\$18,000 a couple years ago and Foster felt it was a lot of appreciation to now be considering listing these for \$29,000-\$39,000.

Discussion held on lots listed for sale, selling properties and buying new properties.

Heinz asked if the land bank was bound by bidding these out or seeking bids to list the properties. Are we at a point to identify what parcels to get rid of?

Galla noted that since she has been on the land bank, we have been anxious to get rid of properties and in hindsight, that has not always worked out for us. The long strip in Bingham Township that was sold was not going to be built on anyway. The Shocko property she was fine with getting rid of. The others she was not in favor of getting rid of them. We are taking time on these because we keep listing them on the agenda and keep talking about them but there is nothing wrong with keeping them in our inventory until we figure out what we are going to do with them, and we need to find out from legal counsel if we can do a brownfield plan that doesn't require local approval. Galla stated there are plenty of land banks in the state that still have properties listed in their land bank and they are not doing anything with them yet. Personally, she did not have a problem holding on to these until we are sure what we want to do with them.

Discussion was held on getting rid of the Shocko property and how to reach the Tribe for a response. Galla asked when Gallagher offered it to the Tribe. Gallagher said it was about a year ago and Galla asked if Gallagher would reach out and tell them the tax amount again and see what they offer. They obviously want it. Galla suggested it be done in writing and give them a request to respond in writing. Gallagher said the Tribe reached out to him and wanted it. Galla asked if he offered the Bingham property at the taxes owed and they accepted and Gallagher replied, yes. With the Shocko property they have not responded for some time. Galla requested it be done in writing. Isphording asked why they didn't respond and Gallagher said they initially reached out to Gallagher and he brought it to this board. This board gave the okay to offer it at the minimum taxes due. There are other properties owned by the tribe and he asked if they would trade and was told, no. Gallagher followed up twice and has not heard back. Isphording said it may not be polite to go over their head and ask again but it is worthwhile to get an answer one way or another. Dead air is not acceptable response. Galla asked about contacting the neighbors and doing it like our side lot program. Gallagher said he very well could do that, just needs direction from this body.

Heinz said he could go along with this but felt we could get good will if we get it to the Tribe. It will not be affordable housing and we need to work together. Maybe with adjoining properties they can put up a duplex. There won't be taxes generated but it could be workforce housing or maybe kids in the school. Gallagher supported this idea.

Galla said there is privately owned property to the east and to the west. Tribe owns north and south and immediately on M-22. There is privately owned property on either side.

Heinz suggested offering it to the Tribe for \$1.00.

Isphording asked what the expectations of the taxpayers are if we give away property for \$1.00. We may or may not get any benefit from this in the future. Is it the taxpayers' expectations that we get more than a gratuitous thank you? Do they expect more? Galla replied that the only ones the land bank has let go for \$1.00 were portions of easements that needed to go back to adjoining property owners to make the easement whole. Otherwise, we have not offered or sold anything for \$1.00. Gallagher agreed. Gallagher offered further background on the property. There is M-22 and the Tribe has 100' buffer. Property is not landlocked but control of easement is through Tribal land. With previous property we owned there, we did demolition and remediation and sold to the Tribe and they got that buffer as part of the transition from the Tribe. It's not an unencumbered piece that would be desirable to the general public. It's an isolated parcel within the confines of the Tribe and surrounded by Tribal land and Gallagher did not see any perceived value.

(Janik present)

Foster asked if the Tribe has control on that property and Gallagher replied that he would say that have significant input. Foster said if they have it, they could use it potentially as a connection to the larger property they have behind it. Gallagher said the Shocko family owned this section and he closed on them before, this is just another one. There is a big chunk on the west side that is still Shocko family owned. To get to it, you have to go through 100' lot and this lot to get to the back and its straight up a hill. It's a unique piece.

Heinz asked if we want to put any deed restrictions on the piece. Gallagher replied in good faith, could have them combine the two parcels and make a useable piece. We did not place restrictions on the other Shocko property (tax foreclosed). Heinz noted we have almost \$2,500 into this. Are we okay financially as we have almost \$2,500 into this parcel for taxes and will have to write this off. Gallagher said we have \$73,000 in cash, \$6,000 in revenue, and \$125 in expenses this year. So, we've already paid for the property, it would just be a distribution.

It was moved by Heinz, seconded by Foster, that the Shocko property number 011-642-011-20 be offered to the Grand Traverse Band, who is adjoining property owner and essentially controls the egress to that property which would affect the marketable to a third party, with an offer to transfer to the Grand Traverse Band for \$1.00 and other value consideration.

On a voice vote, the motion carried 6-0.

Gallagher said the next step would be to do an RFP to list properties. Out of the 5, he felt the N. Cherrywood Ct. one could be listed because of the distance, and the topography. He asked if a motion was necessary to go out for RFP and Janik said, no. Heinz added that the RFP doesn't bind us. Gallagher replied that he would send out an RFP for the N. Cherrywood Ct. property for listing and bring the information back to the next meeting. Property #008-360-134-00

Auction Update

Gallagher reported that the Bingham tax foreclosed parcel on 633 by Eckler Rd, south of the park in Suttons Bay with the trailer on it sold for \$60,750. There was an additional \$689 collected for summer taxes. Minimum bid was \$2,872.56 for back taxes. So that parcel, plus another \$20,000 or more to remediate the site, is sold. The buyer will pay that cost. Heinz asked what parcels were left. Gallagher said there were 3 properties tax foreclosed and the other 2 went through the courts and are no longer foreclosed. There are no more parcels left from this year's foreclosure. Galla asked if the previous owner of the Bingham parcel filed for excess proceeds and Gallagher replied, no. The previous owner of said parcel has not contacted Gallagher.

Marek Rd

Gallagher said he placed this on the agenda, Stimson did not ask for it but Gallagher wanted to add it for dialogue. Gallagher asked Stimson to give an update on the balance for the project. Gallagher noted that we are healthy with some cash and only a few expenditures this year. We are in a strong position to assist this project, if that is plausible. They are \$36,360 short for road and curb cutting.

Jon Stimson, Homestretch, said he spoke with Steve at Elmer's to make sure everything was covered for the

improved area. Steve went out and said there are trees to come out and culverts, etc. He came back with \$93,300 estimate which left Stimson with another shortfall. Stimson spoke with the Road Commission and the supervisor of Suttons Bay Township and both said they may be able to participate but the township only has about \$10,000 of metro funds from the state and may have some next year, as well. There won't be a recommendation until October 17 and Road Commission needs that recommendation before they can move forward and consider helping out. If the township puts in \$10,000, the Road Commission may be able to do \$10,000. Stimson is asking for this board to do a match fund of whatever he can get from those two bodies.

Gallagher said we could offer a match to secure funding from both, as collaborative and community partner. It's within scope of us to do improvements to the property.

Galla stated as a technical point, the Land Bank still owns the property. Gallagher confirmed. Galla clarified that we would be putting money into property that we still own, without it being a donation. Gallagher agreed. Galla stated that we have not transferred the deed and that doesn't happen unless Homestretch gets the funding lined up. The deed is still being held in escrow. Heinz asked if we get \$5,000 when we convey the title or was it a dollar. Gallagher replied it was one dollar.

Heinz noted the county board did put ARPA (American Rescue Plan Act) fund into this 8-unit project, in the amount of \$125,000.

Stimson said they are in need of money to improve the property that is not yet owned by the developer (Homestretch). It would help the project and also improve the county road. He has been talking with a neighboring property owner for additional land so they could continue the development and put in more units. The road improvements enhance the ability for next 2 parcels to be developed.

Janik asked Gallagher to review what was being proposed. Gallagher suggested a match or commitment from the Land Bank and the Road Commission and the township so we can get this funding gap covered.

Galla asked what our 5/50 after sale of the property would generate in funds. Galla asked Stimson if they got a PILOT from the township to reduce property taxes on this site and Stimson replied, yes, they got 6% of the gross. Galla said she was looking down the road at what we would take in with 5/50 once this property is sold. Gallagher said it would offset what we put into it. Janik asked for an estimate. Gallagher did not have a number but reported that the 4 REACH homes in Northport are anticipated to generate about \$25,000 back to the Land Bank in 5/50 funds. Based on assessment and total valuation, these apartments could come in higher than that. So, \$10,000 would fall under the amount we could capture with 5/50.

Galla stated Stimson pointed out the township and road commission won't act on this until at least October 17. Obviously, you are not building this year so what does that do for the cost for next year? Stimson replied that Alpers Excavating wants to get in there now. There are moving parts. Beyond the road, that gap seems to be forthcoming this month with Leelanau Community Foundation action or others. They will be able to fund everything except the Marek Rd. improvement. They can do that later so there is time but he would like to have all the funds committed before they get started. He is hopeful they can still get some work going in October of this year.

Gallagher summarized that this action would be helpful to present to the township and road commission to address this gap. Stimson agreed and said that enhances our request for 1/3 from each.

Heinz asked about the expiration of the Escrow agreement and Galla said it was extended.

Stimson said township meets 17th of October and the recommendation from them goes to the road commission.

Galla looked up the Escrow Agreement and stated it was extended by the Land Bank and expires June 1, 2023. The property has not yet been transferred to Homestretch. Gallagher pointed out the Land Bank will meet again on October 18.

Heinz asked Gallagher about a special assessment district with the Road Commission at some point for this area, and was it still a viable option. Gallagher thought Stimson had probably exercised all available options, including that one.

It was moved by Janik, seconded by Heinz, to strongly consider at the next meeting, a 1/3 matching grant contingent on the Road Commission and Suttons Bay Township also matching 1/3.

Janik said this motion would allow Stimson to go back to both bodies and let them know we are considering a 1/3 match, but this does not tie our hands and does not commit us.

Gallagher referred to the September 9 email from Stimson and said the total debt is \$93,300. What Stimson has in his presentation is less \$10,000 from the township, up to 50% from the Road Commission (\$46,650), and that leaves a balance of \$36,650. Gallagher's understanding was 1/3 of the \$36,650 was being requested of us. Janik said that gives something for Stimson to take to other jurisdictions and then come back next month and we can have some dialogue. Foster said 1/3 is about \$12,000.

Galla suggested that we also get the numbers on the 5/50 for next month so we have an idea how much money we might bring back in on the sale of this property. Gallagher agreed.

On a roll call, motion carried 6-0.

Other Business

Gallagher reported he would be attending the MI Association of Land Banks meeting in October in Detroit and should have more updates for the October 18 meeting regarding funding for blight elimination, and other programs.

Janik announced that Habitat for Humanity has two work bees at the Maple City Crossings and they are looking for volunteers to help with that project. They will have tools and everything there. Just need to show up – Wednesday, October 5 and Saturday October 15.

PUBLIC COMMENT - none

MEMBER / CHAIR COMMENTS - none

ADJOURN

Meeting adjourned at 10:08 am.

MINUTES
SUTTONS BAY TOWNSHIP BOARD
REGULAR MEETING
OCTOBER 12, 2022

CALL TO ORDER

Tom Nixon, Supervisor, called the Suttons Bay Township Board Meeting to order on Wednesday, October 12, 2022, at 5:15 pm in the Suttons Bay Township Office. (There will be a ZOOM site for remote access).

ROLL CALL - Quorum Present

Present: Tom Nixon, Sandy VanHuystee, Dorothy Petroskey, Debbie Slocombe,
Doug Periard

Absent: None

Staff Present: Steve Patmore, Marge Johnson

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

Sandy VanHuystee/moved, Debbie Slocombe/supported, to approve the agenda as presented, motion carried.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

PRESENTATION - None

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

REPORTS:

- Treasurer - Collecting taxes
- Planning & Zoning - Zoning Administrator's Report
- Parks Supervisor - Bill Drozdalski's Report
- Parks & Recreation Committee - Pete Ostrowski's Report. Minutes submitted.
- Fire Authority - Appointed Mary Woods as member at large from Bingham Township, authorized 10% down for purchase of ambulance, delivery in 2025.
- Facilities - Authorized Netlink to hook Steve Patmore up to the off-site computer system. Installation of new telephone system to start next Wednesday.

OLD BUSINESS

1 Approval of the Minutes - September 14, 2022

Sandy VanHuystee/moved, Debbie Slocombe/supported, to approve the Minutes as presented, motion carried.

2. Payment of the Bills

Debbie Slocombe/moved, Sandy VanHuystee/supported, to approve payment of the bills in the amount of \$53,193.43, motion carried.

3. Authorize Distribution of \$2,000 Matching Money for DTE Tree Grant

Tom Nixon said the DTE Tree Grant was approved for \$2,000.00. The Committee in the Spring 2023 will decide where the three (3) different species of trees will be planted. No expenditure at this time.

NEW BUSINESS1. Consideration of Using Metro Funds to Improve Entrance to North Marek Road

Jonathon Stimson, Homestretch Housing, sent a letter explaining his request for funds. Because of the increased dwellings and additional traffic to the affordable housing site on Marek Road, upgrades to the intersection of M-204 and Marek Road, as well as widening and paving a portion of Marek Road are required. The road improvement includes replacing the culvert. Improvement of this road would lay the groundwork for future development. The Land Bank would match any funding approved by the township. For the Board's information, Mr. Stimson submitted a copy of a bid from Elmer's for the project.

Tom Nixon said the township in the past has approved road development. If the township approves using Metro Funds for this project, the Road Commission would have to agree to match the township's funding. The township receives approximately \$10,000.00 a year in Metro Funds and has about three years of Metro Funds. There is no perceived commitment for these funds. Improvement of North Marek Road is necessary for this project. There is no work force housing in the township.

Debbie Slocombe/moved, Doug Periard/supported, to give Metro Funds in the amount of \$15,000.00 to the Home-Stretch Housing -North Marek Road Project, contingent upon approval of the Road Commission participating in the project.

Discussion - Debbie Slocombe - the township was proposing to give \$15,000.00 for the Herman Park sewer. Vote on the motion: Yes: 3. No: 2, motion carried.

Tom Nixon said he will draft a letter to the Leelanau County Road Commission requesting its participation in this project.

2. Update on Proposed Pump Track at Herman Park - Pete Ostrowski

Tom Nixon said this project has been going on for 1-1/2 years. Discussion regarding the proposed pump track will be held at the November township meeting.

3. Revised Job Description Park Maintenance & Activities Assistant

The revised job description for Park Maintenance & Activities Assistant was reviewed. This person partners with Bill Drozdalski.

Dorothy Petroskey/moved, Sandy VanHuystee/supported, to accept the revised job description for Park Maintenance & Activities Assistant as presented, motion carried.

4. Ice Rink Park Reservation Form

The revised Ice Rink Reservation Form was submitted for approval.

Dorothy Petroskey/moved, Debbie Slocombe/supported, to approve the Ice Rink Park Reservation Form for the winter months, motion carried.

5. Review Revisions to The Parks Ordinance

Tom Nixon said he would like discussion of revisions to the Park Ordinance to be held at the joint meeting of the township board and Parks & Rec Committee on October 26, 2022, and schedule for review and adoption at the November township board meeting.

6. Consider Discontinuing the Use of Zoom For Board Meetings

Tom Nixon said he thinks other governmental units have discontinued zoom meetings, noting there is an additional cost for zoom meetings.

Tom Nixon/moved, Sandy VanHuystee/supported, to discontinue zoom meetings for the township board and planning commission as of January 1, 2023.

Discussion - Dorothy Petroskey said the Planning Commission should discuss whether or not to have zoom meetings. Vote: Yes: 2 No: 3. Motion fails.

Doug Periard/moved, Dorothy Petroskey/supported, that zoom meetings should be on the November 2022 township board agenda, after the township trustee on the Planning Commission confers with the Planning Commission and asks if the Planning Commission is interested in participating in zoom meetings, motion carried.

Tom Nixon recommends that the township purchase a television.

7. Establish Committee To Review/Revise The Short Term Rental Ordinance

Dorothy Petroskey and Debbie Slocombe were appointed as a committee to review/revise the Short Term Rental Ordinance.

Debbie Slocombe/moved, Dorothy Petroskey/supported, to establish a committee to review/revise the Short Term Rental Ordinance, motion

OTHER BUSINESS

Tom Nixon said snow removal will cost \$40 per time this winter season at Herman Park. Amount is The same as last year.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Eric Carlson said the Leelanau County Board of Commissioners has not discontinued zoom meetings. Zoom meetings are very useful. Would advocate for maintaining electronic activity with the township.

Liz Mahaney asked if the township board was going to pursue the Michigan Economic Development Grant.

Debbie Slocombe/moved, Dorothy Petroskey/supported, to pursue the Michigan Economic Development Grant as presented, motion carried.

BOARD MEMBER COMMENTS

Dorothy Petoskey - commented on North Marek Road improvement.

Debbie Slocombe - commented on North Marek Road improvement.

Tom Nixon - Reminder - Joint Township Board - Parks & Rec Meeting on Wednesday, October 26, 2022, at 6:30 at Township Offices. Tom Nixon signed contract with AJ Excavating for the parking lot at Herman Park.

ADJOURNMENT

Tom Nixon adjourned the meeting at 6:24 p.m.

Minutes by Marge Johnson, Recording Secretary
Sandy VanHuystee, Clerk

Minutes Approved as-presented on November 16, 2022

November 22, 2022

The regular meeting of the Board of County Road Commissioners of Leelanau County was called to order by Chairman Garth Greenan 1:03 pm on Tuesday, November 22, 2022 at 10550 E. Eckerle Road, Suttons Bay, Michigan. Present: Chair Garth Greenan, Vice Chair Bob Joyce, Members Jim Calhoun, John Popa and Greg Mikowski. Also present: Manager Brendan Mullane, Fleet Manager Tim Trudell, Engineer Craig Brown and Finance Manager/Secretary to the Board Susan Boyd. Public invited to attend via GoToMeetings link.

Agenda: Modified to add letter from Tom Nixon regarding cost share request for Marek Road improvements as #3 under New Business.

Minutes from the November 1, 2022 regular meeting – approved as presented.

Public Comments: Tom Wellman, on behalf of the Cherry Home Board of Directors, reaffirmed the previous request made for consideration of road improvements in the subdivision and mentioned that a scaled back request would also be acceptable. Discussion has taken place with the township supervisor to initiate coordination and raise funds for the project.

Communication Items: None.

Appointments: None.

Staff Reports:

Mullane: Crews did a great job handling the accumulation of snow over the last week. The plans and environmental assessment for the Crystal River bridge project has been posted on the website.

Brown: Rieth-Riley was awarded the Bugai Road projects through the MDOT bidding process. The balance not covered by STIP/State-D funds is included in the 2023 draft budget with additional budgeted for inspections and testing. Bridge inspections were done with acceptable ratings. Popa commented that this is a good plan connecting sections of CR 641. Greenan asked if the recommended wedging at bridges would be scheduled for next summer. It is not planned but preferably this will be coordinated with scheduled chip sealing.

Trudell: Repairs to the parking garage are in progress with another two weeks projected for this phase to be completed and followed by reinforcement. The two new trucks are at Grand Traverse Diesel. There are now ten trucks with ground speed controllers installed. Popa requested that there is follow up on the parking garage costs since there are higher temperatures forecasted for the next several days. Joyce asked about the budgeted purchase for pickups. Due to significant price increases and limited availability, there will only be two purchased, replacing trucks currently driven by Brown and Priest.

Boyd: Thank you to Trudell and Brown for providing budget information.

Old Business: None.

New Business:

1. Updated policy on Right of Way Abandonment.

Mullane explained that a request from a resident regarding an easement had prompted the revision of the outdated policy. Attorney Bill Henn provided this policy statement which is consistent with current law. Popa asked for clarification that this was a revision rather than a replacement and whether a policy number should be referenced. Current policies are listed without numbers.

Motion by Popa, supported by Joyce to adopt the revised policy on Right of Way Abandonment.

Roll call vote: Ayes: Popa, Calhoun, Joyce, Mikowski and Greenan. Nays: None. Motion is hereby approved.

2. Review of draft budget for 2023.

Boyd reviewed the attachments for the proposed budget for 2023. Estimates for revenue are conservative based on actual receipts for the last half of this year. Expenses were reviewed for routine expenses as well as the supporting documentation for maintenance projects tentatively planned for next year. The proposed capital budget and a schedule of personnel costs were presented. The proposed budget would result in an addition of \$360,893 to retained earnings but an analysis of actual cash outlays as compared to cash receipts showed a deficit of \$296,548. Management agrees this is not a cause for concern due to year end account balances as well as budgeted personnel costs that will most likely not actually be incurred and the conservative estimate of revenues. Review and input by the end of the month was requested.

3. Discussion of cost share with Suttons Bay Township for Marek Road improvements.

Mullane reviewed the Homestretch housing project and driveway/road issues related to the construction. Per the submitted letter, Suttons Bay Township has approved \$15,000 towards the road improvement but this is contingent upon road commission participation. The previous estimate from Elmer's was \$90,000 and this is a project that will have to be managed by the road commission. Recommendation to match the \$15,000 authorized by Suttons Bay Township. Mikowski asked where the balance of the funding would come from. It is up to the developer and/or township to raise the remainder. Joyce agreed with a maximum match of \$15,000 but the cost should be on the developer as in previous situations such as this. Popa, Calhoun and Greenan agreed that the road commission's match should not exceed the contribution from the township.

Motion by Popa, support by Joyce to match the \$15,000 contribution authorized by Suttons Bay Township for Marek Road improvements.

Roll call vote: Ayes: Mikowski, Joyce, Calhoun, Popa and Greenan. Nays: None. Motion is hereby approved.

Public comments: None.

Commissioner comments:

Popa drove around Leelanau and Grand Traverse counties over the weekend and commended Leelanau crews for doing a great job, and also thanked Brown for providing budget detail for projects.

Calhoun said excellent job on the budget.

Joyce agreed and also thanked Brown for the detailed project budget and Trudell for the capital budget.


Mikowski thanked the staff and wished all a Happy Thanksgiving.

Greenan concurred with the above statements.


With no more business to conduct, Greenan adjourned the meeting at 2:04 p.m.

BOARD OF COUNTY ROAD COMMISSIONERS
OF LEELANAU COUNTY

By


Garth Greenan, Chairman

Attest:


Susan Boyd, Secretary to the Board

HomeStretch Nonprofit Housing Corporation

400 Boardman Ave Suite #10

Traverse City, MI 49684

Invoice

Date	Invoice #
12/14/2023	4141

Bill To
<p>Leelanau County Land Bank Authority Attn: Mr. John Gallagher 8527 E. Government Drive Suite 104 Suttons Bay, MI 49682</p>

Due Date
12/14/2023

Description	Amount						
1/3 matching grant for upgrades to the intersection of Marek Road and M-204 as required by the Leelanau County Road Commission for the Vineyard View Affordable Housing Development.	15,000.00						
<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>\$15,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Payments/Credits</td> <td>\$0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Balance Due</td> <td>\$15,000.00</td> </tr> </table>		Total	\$15,000.00	Payments/Credits	\$0.00	Balance Due	\$15,000.00
Total	\$15,000.00						
Payments/Credits	\$0.00						
Balance Due	\$15,000.00						

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION TO PROVIDE THE INGHAM COUNTY LAND BANK FAST TRACK
AUTHORITY WITH CAPACITY BUILDING FUNDING**

RESOLUTION # 18 – 150

WHEREAS, the incidence of tax foreclosure caused by lingering economic turmoil and hardship continues to be significant; and

WHEREAS, in 2005 the County, County Treasurer and the Michigan Land Bank Fast Track Authority entered into an agreement to establish the Ingham County Land Bank; and

WHEREAS, the Land Bank is a significant economic development tool in Ingham County and is the major public responder to the plight of property thrown into tax foreclosure; and

WHEREAS, the elevated incidence of tax forfeiture and foreclosure, while increasing the responsibilities placed upon Treasury and the Land Bank, has also increased the revenue to the County Treasurer's Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund; and

WHEREAS, the Land Bank has significant physical assets, but faces property management and disposition challenges as well as a debt burden from dealing with the high levels of tax foreclosure; and

WHEREAS, the level of County support to the Land Bank will be reassessed in 2022 after the impact of the current pension assumptions changes have been fully realized.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board authorizes, with the concurrence of the County Treasurer, an increase in funding to the Ingham County Land Bank from \$400,000 to \$700,000 for the 2018-2022 fiscal years.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the funding will be paid for out of the Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund process for tax years 2015 through 2019 respectively.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Land Bank is restricted to using this additional \$300,000 to pay debt service.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Controller/Administrator is directed to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Nolan, Sebolt, Grebner, Celentino, Hope, Maiville
Nays: None **Absent:** Naeyaert **Approved 04/03/2018**

FINANCE: Yeas: Grebner, Anthony, Crenshaw, Tennis, Koenig, Louney, Schafer
Nays: None **Absent:** None **Approved 04/04/2018**

Introduced by the County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**RESOLUTION TO PROVIDE THE INGHAM COUNTY LAND BANK FAST TRACK AUTHORITY
WITH CAPACITY BUILDING FUNDING**

RESOLUTION #23 – 190

WHEREAS, in 2005 the County, County Treasurer and the Michigan Land Bank Fast Track Authority entered into an agreement to establish an Ingham County Land Bank; and

WHEREAS, the Land Bank has grown to be a significant economic development tool in Ingham County and is the major public responder to the plight of property thrown into tax foreclosure; and

WHEREAS, the need exists for capacity at the Land Bank to deal with management and disposition of the Land Bank's inventory of property; and

WHEREAS, the Land Bank has significant physical assets with value to unlock and redeploy in the future, but faces property management and disposition challenges currently; and

WHEREAS, the funding provided by state law for Michigan Land Banks does not provide adequate resources to manage property or to apply for funds to properly dispose of property.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners authorizes an annual transfer of \$400,000 for the 2023-2025 fiscal years to the Ingham County Land Bank to be paid for out of the Delinquent Tax Revolving Fund proceeds for tax years 2020 through 2022 respectively.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Controller/Administrator is directed to make any necessary budget adjustments consistent with this resolution.

COUNTY SERVICES: Yeas: Celentino, Peña, Sebolt, Lawrence, Maiville, Ruest
Nays: None **Absent:** Grebner **Approved 05/02/2023**

FINANCE: Yeas: Morgan, Grebner, Sebolt, Polsdofer, Peña, Johnson, Maiville
Nays: None **Absent:** Tennis **Approved 05/03/2023**