2024-05-14\_Bill Wiesner shared with LC-BOC during Public Comment in the Special Meeting. You can watch the entire 30 minute recorded speech here:

https://canadafreepress.com/article/renowned-attorney-john-eastman-delivers-blistering-speech-on-illegitimate-2020-president-election

(Bill Wiesner **highlighted** his favorite parts of the speech)

# 2024-05-14\_Renowned Attorney **John Eastman** Delivers Blistering Speech on Illegitimate 2020 President Election

Tue, May 14, 2024 8:18AM • 28:58

# ONLINE OTTER.AI <u>AUTOMATIC</u> <u>TRANSCRIPTION</u>: CONTAINS NUMEROUS SMALL TRANSCRIPTION ERRORS.

#### **SUMMARY KEYWORDS**

election, people, ballots, precinct, votes, state, georgia, county, means, country, fraud, legislature, wisconsin, decide, told, call, government, pennsylvania, electors, consent

#### **SPEAKERS**

John Eastman\_Attorney

## John Eastman\_Attorney 00:09

I call Ron it's nice to get together with a few people I thought I didn't know 200 People so this, this energizes me beyond measure. Thank you all so much. You know, I gotta start with this story when I get a call from the White House switchboard. I thought they were joking. I thought it was a buddy of mines and the wife of the president. On the line. If you take your call I said, Steve, when you get off the phone, and the President said, I'd like you to represent me. We're trying to get an action before the Supreme Court United States. That normally is something that would be considered the capstone of one's career. My daughter thought so she took the brief we filed in the Supreme Court my motion to intervene on behalf of the President in the Texas versus Pennsylvania case. She had it framed and gave it to me for Christmas. Still adorns my shelf at home. But A Funny Thing Happened on the Way to the Forum. Says because apparently in 21st century America, you're not supposed to challenge the status quo. You're not supposed to challenge what government tells you you know how no matter how blatantly false it manifestly hits. When they said you know, masks should be worn or masks shouldn't be worn. We're supposed to act like they didn't change their mind and that there was equally the same. When they tell you it's okay to have a 50 year old man showing up naked and your daughter showers are supposed to just say well, this is this is this is the government said this is okay. We're competing

against your daughters in the swim meet what she got to do when she grows up and wants to get a scholarship swimming or running track and she's competing against a man. It's not fair. It's not American, and we need to stand up against it. The same thing is true with elections. Bill Barr said that there was no evidence of fraud he looked, except when we filed Public Records Act requests asking what he looked at getting access to the investigatory materials that he bases statement on. Now investigative materials are exempt from FOIA Freedom of Information Act and what you get back normally, it says yes, we have such materials but it's exempt under whatever subsection of the statute is exempt. That was not the message that came back. There was a fellow that filed a FOIA request in every swing state US Attorney's Office and did me Justice in Washington and asking for all the investigative materials that support a bill Barr statement. And the answer didn't come back. We have these materials and they're exactly the message. That letter came back and said there are no such materials. In other words, weapon box that was a lie. And publicly he's saying we're investigating election you can feel like ality privately as we know from the US attorney and Pennsylvania Bill explain he was separately telling him calling and saying stand down, hand the materials over to the Attorney General of Pennsylvania. It's about that the Democrats in Philadelphia are stealing elections. You're gonna hand it over to the Democrat Attorney General to conduct an investigation. Investigations have sizes and it was the most secure election in history. I mean, it you can't even say that with a straight face. I tried to sell that that storyline. to Hollywood, they turned it down. It's too implausible. So an article that just recently published based on a speech I gave at the Gatestone Institute in New York, some months back called, in quotes the most secure election in history. I didn't even have to put a quotation in a question mark Gabbard everybody knew that was my job was to focus on the hidden legality. And I want to lay out the basic constitutional premise here. Article Two of the Constitution is so clearly written that even lawyers should be able to understand it says that the states shall and the manner chosen votes shall choose the manner I'm sorry, I've drawn a blank on a precise language. The state shall choose the Presidential electors in the manner chosen by Directed by the legislature of the state. The legislators decide how presidential electors are going to be chosen. In the first half century of our nation's history. Most of the state legislators legislatures just chose the president on Instagram selves. You can imagine what those campaigns for state legislative office are like it took out a whole new meaning every four years because whoever got in office would be the ones choosing the Presidential electorate from that state. Since the Civil War, all of the states Colorado when it first entered chose its own electors by the legislature and since the Civil War all the states have chosen electors in the manner by having a popular vote. And what that means is the election code how you conduct that election becomes a manner for choosing presidential electors. And under that constitutional assignment of authority plenary power. The Supreme Court accepted the state legislators only the legislature can alter that manner. Only the legislature can decide whether we're going to get rid of signature verification or water down. Only the legislature can decide whether we can have drop boxes or human drop boxes. Only the legislature can say we're not going to have bipartisan teams going into the nursing homes. As Wisconsin require only the legislature can decide we're not going to bother making sure we have bipartisan teams at every county table and TCF center. The decisions to alter those state election laws by county clerks by secretaries of state even in some instances by state court judges. Were not only an illegal thing under state election law, but because we're dealing with a federal election whose power to design that system comes from the federal constitution. Those actions were unconstitutional. That alone made the election invalid. I don't have to prove fraud and grave politically you want to say and it affected four votes in the outcome because you don't want to rush on a

technicality here. But we know that the door opened by that illegality to fraud had people walk through and insignificant enough numbers to have affected the outcome of the elections and at least enough of the swing states to affected overall the outcome of the total election. In Wisconsin, the Secretary of State there prohibited mandatory bipartisan teams from going into nursing homes. Why don't we have bipartisan teams as part of the law in Wisconsin because people in nursing homes tend to be particularly susceptible to undue influence and don't want that undue influence affecting their right to vote. So you have bipartisan teams going to make sure that doesn't happen at the end of the day and claimed it was because of COVID. The fish tank cleaners I'm told are still allowed to go in, but not the bipartisan teams to secure honesty and the vote from those other channels that opened the door for fraud. It was one of the main checks against fraud that the legislature had directed, and it was ignored by the Secretary of State without any constitutional authority. How do we know people walk through that door of fraud? Well, turnout rates in nursing homes in Wisconsin went from an historical average of 20 to 30% to nearly 100%. Including in memory here wings and many of the ballots were in the same handwriting. There's no evidence of fraud How many have heard that alone affected more ballots in the margin of victory and was consequences just over 20,000. Another thing which the courts have subsequently held was illegal in Wisconsin. Let's drop boxes. There's no constitutional statutory authority to run boxes in Wisconsin and young people drop boxes. In heavily Democratic areas. Human dropboxes that's a that was a clever way of they ran an operation called democracy in the park, which was a battle harvesting operation for college students in Madison, Wisconsin, where he or she was contacted, and that one operation over two Saturdays leading up to the election, they collected illegally 17,500 votes, the margin was 20,600 in something that just that one operation. These things have subsequently been held to be illegal. And yet people continue to insist that I am what's the phrase they use in the California Bar proceeding, exhibiting moral turpitude because I continue to insist that there was the legality and the conduct of the election. Georgia they ran what I call fortify precincts all over town in Atlanta. They created portable portable precincts. Now that violated several laws in Georgia, the precincts have to be fixed, and you have to notify people ahead of time where they're going to be. I don't think they ever provided notice of what the route of the portable precinct was going to be. It makes it very difficult to have the observers violated the law, the Secretary of State their independent consent decree months before the election, they're completely obliterated, watered down the signature verification process. So much so that in Fulton County, they didn't even bother to try even the watered down signature verification process. They admitted that in one of the cases out there, that was all illegal and it affected many more votes and the 11,779 vote margin in Georgia, and Pennsylvania

#### John Eastman\_Attorney 09:59

to this day, there are 120,000 More ballots passed, then voters. Think about that for a minute. It kind of lends credence to the story that supposedly was debunked about the truck driver whose truck then too 200,000 ballots from Long Island to Philadelphia and I don't know what happened 220,000 more valid than people voted. In my bar trial. The Deputy Secretary of State testified so you don't know what you're talking about because those numbers are based on the current data in the voter rolls and that number constantly changes as people die or move out of state. It's reduced. So Heather honey, who has the voter integrity operation in Pennsylvania, told me how many people from election day to February when Philadelphia and Pittsburgh finally finished uploading their numbers so we can compare apples and apples. She said there were only 16,000 People that have been dropped from the rolls over those four months. So he lied when he said that that was the explanation for the 120,000 disparity. If

we'd been allowed to call him there is a rebuttal witness you would have said 16,000 That means 120 minus 16. Calculated high calculated math, okay, still 104,000 More ballots than votes. That's a problem in a state where the margin was 80,000. In Georgia, they got access I think this particular times didn't get the memo that you're not supposed to let people look at the machines cases. fabricado versus Rathmines burger and adjusted Fulton County gave them access in discovery to conduct a forensic audit on one machine. And if they had it about a week before the judge would approve the order. And in that week, they discovered some very interesting things such as this is a little complicated. So let me explain it. Think about what happened in 2020 that had never really had before. Normally, you go to your local school or the local church and you vote in your precinct, and a few people that would vote by mail, those votes would get delivered to that precinct and they be counted together with the in person votes and in that precinct photo would be reported to the county and then to the state. Well in the big cities Philadelphia Atlanta, Detroit, they decided to consolidate all of the mail in ballots all in one place and count them all there and that means in Atlanta, you had ballots coming in from 490 different precincts all over the county and every precinct has a different pennant, because every precinct has different school boards has different city council races. And so the ballots has to have a key code to tell the county machine what key to look to, to decide how to count those bubbles on your ballot. And what they discovered in this forensic audit, you run 490 different precincts in random to create a batch to scan of 100 You got a mathematicians there. That means 100 to the 490 of power, is the odds that that same sequence would be replicated, in other words, zero possibility of a replication of the exact sequence that they found in that one week on that one machine over 5000 ballots that were in the same identical sequence. In other words, the ballots are being scanned multiple times and counted multiple times, or they're being scanned once and replicated and counted multiple times. And just vesterday in the Georgia Board of Elections hearing, they identified this problem as well as several others like 600,000 Some ballots that there's no validated for in Mason County, Colorado. Again, somebody made a she's about to suffer a felony trial for doing this. But but they were going to update the software and the machines in her County all over the state for order at the Secretary of State. And she said that's illegal because that update is going to destroy election data. And under federal law, we have to keep all election data for 22 months. And I no one who's on the hook if that data is preserved because I'm the County Clerk clerk, the chief elections officer in my county if that data is not preserved, I could go to jail. So she makes a mirror image copy of the of the machine data before they do the update which destroys the data. And now she's got this thing and she gives it to the forensic scientists to have a look. And they discovered that packets of vote data were deleted and the new ones uploaded in their place.

# John Eastman\_Attorney 14:58

But Mr. creb says this is the most secure election history. Here in Michigan Of course you started all this stuff with Antrim County.

#### John Eastman\_Attorney 15:14

look at the school board race or whatever it was because nobody will pay attention there. Everyone's focused on the presidential race machines. And he discovered gold the whole votes cast for Trump were recorded this provide and maybe there's an explanation on the update until whatever I mean, I think Mr. Halderman they kind of backed out and reverse engineer what happened and that seems to make a lot of sense, but both of them identified in the course of their review. Unbelievable security

breaches. They're still using the same password for admins that isn't willing. Everybody acts as a bid and it's been in place since 2008. Hasn't changed and with that password you can get into the system. Halderman has been going around the world for a decade or more raising these kinds of questions until you tell the people that we're concerned about if you're on the other side of the political aisle from them and everything's It's all perfect. He was the guy who testified down in Georgia and a current litigation order they're going to throw out he uses machines down there. And now but then they kept his record under sealed for a long time and it came out and it's so security breaches. They found a Wi Fi chip on the motherboard and the machine, Antrim County, and I think I recall seeing a news account that your attorney general was going to prosecute different in his team for planning evidence, except he had already obtained a discovery a copy of the invoice that add a line item for the Wi Fi to the Georgia in Coffee County. They had a problem. And the local the local tech on site walks out of the room and calls the home office and they fix the problem remotely and I just have a simple questions. How do they do that if it's not connected to the internet? Anyway, but and if it's not connected to the internet, I want to know what kind of communication system they've got that I don't know about because that sounds pretty good. Maybe it works better than Verizon. These are the kinds of things we know. And it means that the illegality, the ignoring of state statutes that were designed to make it difficult to do those kinds of things open the door for fraud and people that with a lot at stake walk through that door. Now let me talk about what's happened for anybody that dare stand up to this. What is it? What is it it's often attributed to George Orwell? I don't know if he ever actually said it, but it certainly fits with the kinds of things he would have said in an era of universal deceit telling the truth is a revolutionary act. I like to make a different comparison. The English used to have a law of seditious libel is one of the reasons we fought a Revolutionary War. If you criticize the government, you could be prosecuted for seditious libel. And if what you said was true, that didn't matter. Truth was not a defense because the greater the truth, the greater the libel. In other words, if what you were saying and criticizing the government was truly brought down into greater disrepute, we need to deposit more that ladies and gentlemen, I fear is the step we've taken in this country. People that are simply signing a light on what went on and telling the truth about it, are essentially being accused of seditious libel. They're being I'm on indicted co conspirator number two in DC I'm indicted. coconspirator number three in Georgia and last week, I get indicted in Arizona. I had zero communications with the electors in Arizona. I had zero involvement with the election challenges in Arizona. I responded to a request from a legislator to talk to the speaker of the assembly for about five minutes. That was it and yet I'm I'm indicted co conspirator number 13 in Arizona. I was a little hesitant to come to Michigan because I let me send a message to your attorney general I had zero communications with your electorates. In fact I met one elector just tonight, about four years after the election. I had zero involvement with Michigan litigation. And I think I had maybe two five minute conversations with a couple of lawyers here. You're missing because my focus was in Pennsylvania and in Georgia who was not here. So if you decide you want to add me to that indictment of the electors, I would call that malicious prosecution. At some point we've got to start pushing back and holding people to account for it.

#### John Eastman Attorney 20:21

So you know, when the indictments are coming down my boiler room so first, first of all, are the criminal defense lawyer had hired to deal with completely bipartisan neutral January 6. You know, the criminal defense lawyers always tell their clients right out of the box, don't say a word. Don't say we're going to use against and I you know, I mean, I understand that the right advice and almost all circumstances,

but I also understood before he did that, that these are not normal circumstances that the battle is I'm dealing with have precious little to do with the actual these are political battles. And for me not to be out speaking means I am seeding the turf on the only battlefield patterns which is the court of public opinion. And it took him a couple of months to come around to that view, but he agreed and now I've got to do the interviews all the time, even with the hostile threats, email, 60 minutes, all sorts of things. Because the American people need to have a light shined to what went on, because if they don't, it'll go on again and again and elections will cease to matter in this country. And as Patrice pointed out, the reason that is so important, comes straight from our Declaration of Independence. All men all human beings are created equal. That's the fundamental self evident truth set out in that document. The corollary truths mean that means nobody gets to rule others without their consent. The only legitimate form of government is one based on consent. our forebears gave that consent by ratifying the constitution. We give that consent every four years or every two years by a fair election. We still are the sovereign authority in this country, and the government works for us not the other way around.

## John Eastman\_Attorney 22:23

elections are conducted illegally. They are not fair by definition, we no longer are being governed by the consent of the governed, we cease to be a free people free citizens in a self governing Republic, and we become subjects of an increasingly oppressive or tyrannical government. This is the fight for our time. It is a fight comparable to the fight our founders waged against the greatest empire on earth at the time. They pledged to each other their lives, their fortunes and their sacred honor and many of them gave one or the other of those combination. Our grandparents fought in World War One or World War to do to defend freedom here and abroad. The Greatest Generation they call it well, this is the fight for us to stand up. Last summer it served fellowship programs with the Claremont Institute, a organization that has kept me employed after my other ones decided not to. And are we have three fellowship programs over the summer the Publilius fellows, the Lincoln fellows and the one for lawyers recent laundry That's the mark John Marshall columns. I prime I teach a little bit on all three of about primarily in the martial arts program and at the end of the week, and this is, you know, it's not your normal policy thing. We teach them about the fundamental principles that underlie our country. We begin with Aristotle, Cicero and natural law and we do this and at the end of the week, we throw a nice dinner, some good wine and a roast and toast each other occasionally they'll give it up a little odd not to the faculty from the week. But this year was the year that the Georgia indictment came down while I was in teaching and so they decided to roast me a little bit. Easton was conducting the seminar on religion classes with Justice Rogers ground and Phil unidos from Notre Dame, and black booted thugs started rappelling down from the rooftops and came in and arrested in a parking lot. Now they didn't do that, but it was part of the road so it was fun and he kept teaching about the religion clauses he was bound and determined to get that across to us. Let me say that they all drew from that. An observation of courage and that courage was contagious and they all saw what was going on and they decided to redouble themselves in their careers and not to stand down in the face of such aggression. The guy then turns serious and he said, quote it a line from our Star Spangled Banner or national anthem. You know, the famous question is the flag. And he said, if you think about it, it's not the question. It's not so much about whether the flag still wakes. But what kind of land if leaves over? This is still the land of the screen the home of the brave? Or is it the land of the coward in the home of the slave? Ladies and gentlemen, I'm not willing to let that ladder be our destiny are the thing I bequeath to my children and grandchildren. I am going to fight for the former because that's what we receive from our forebears.

And I draw such energy from groups like this because it means people are watching this. This thing happens all over the country. I was invited by the local ladies club in Palm Springs, I figured up like 20 to 30 people. They had to run out to Convention Center, 400 people. People are awakened to what's going on. And it's not just the election, it's CRT. It's it's all of this crap that our government is feeding us that is just simply not true. And people are waking up to your you're now you're now hitting the home. You're affected my kids, my wife, I go to the grocery store that cost me \$482 Or a slice of bread. I can get whatever that's not true. I don't need to make a misrepresentation, exaggeration. People are waking up all over the country, homegrown groups like this in every precinct in every county and every state in the country. Eyes on billions of eyes on what's going on. I think it's going to make it much more difficult. But we still have to be diligent. And then we got to worry about the next generation because they have literally destroyed our public school system. But one of my clients right now is a kid named Jaden Rodriguez. It's a name probably doesn't ring a bell but I'll bet if story does when I tell it to Jaden Rodriguez is the 12 year old who was suspended from his school because he had a Gaston flag patch on his backpack. And his assistant principal told him he couldn't wear it because it was a symbol of slavery. And he said no man, it's a symbol of freedom and fighting against government tyranny. This 12 year old knew more than the people that were supposed to be educating and more importantly for this part of the story. He had the courage to stand up to it. Ladies and gentlemen, that's the kind of kid that when my time comes to pass the baton I will be honored to pass it to him and I think we need more pips like that. And we need to make sure

# John Eastman\_Attorney 27:52

You'd better if I hadn't done any of that

28:29

And for whatever reason, I've been cast in the forefront of this war. I can't think of anything more honorable to do to be participating in protecting freedom for our kids and grandkids.

#####