

From: [Carolyn Rentenbach](#)
To: [Clerk](#)
Subject: Public comment 5-18-2021
Date: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 9:50:55 AM

Please distribute this public comment to all commissioners and the administrator.

Shame on you, shame on you!

Dismantling the clerk's office is not a wise decision.

This is not how county business is conducted.

Why was the late addition requested to be placed on the agenda in a specific location? Normally the chair decides where a late addition is placed.

Why was it necessary to start by saying "this is not a personal attack on the clerk"? It clearly is and had not been discussed with the clerk or the administrator prior.

Why were all of the allegations for dismantlement made when the clerk was out-of-town?

Why were there no supporting facts? Alleging that the clerk is too stressed or has health concerns is totally inappropriate.

These are just a few of the questions I would like answered by those voting in favor of accomplishment by a specific date. Traditionally those who voted in favor of last week's motion have been abhorred, abhorred by government expansion and addition of full time employees, even part-time employees.

Don't try and fix something that's not broken. Kudos should be given to the clerk and her staff for the excellent job they all do. Kudos to the administrator and his staff who are responsible with the clerk for many of the duties requested to be put in new departments.

If you wish to explore expansion, fine. But to state that this will be accomplished and by a certain date takes away the choice to decide that this is not even feasible.

Peachy Rentenbach
Glen Arbor Township

Sent from my iPad