

Bill Wiesner

3-19-2024
Public Comment

2024-03-15_ Kurt Olsen Discusses New Evidence in the Arizona Election Case with Steve Bannon (10min-48sec)_TRANSCRIPT

Fri, Mar 15, 2024 9:33PM • 10:56

SUMMARY KEYWORDS

evidence, machines, election, court, maricopa county, software, ballots, encrypt, supreme court, defendants, maricopa, read, cryptographic keys, docket, entire, kurt, judge, master, altered, false statement

Lake Finchem v Fontes SCOTUS petition for cert - 210-Page Downloadable PDF File, and pages 101 - 210 give a multitude of evidence of fraud:

<https://s3.documentcloud.org/documents/24483379/lake-finchem-v-fontes-scotus-petition-for-cert.pdf>

SPEAKERS

Kurt Olsen_Election Lawyer, StephenK Bannon_War Room; 11-minutes long dialogue:.

<https://rumble.com/v4jiddl-kurt-olsen-discusses-new-evidence-in-the-arizona-election-case.html>

StephenK Bannon_War Room 00:10

.. So Kurt Olsen, the lawyer representing the Finchem -Kari Lake issue going that they filed to the Supreme Court. It hasn't been docketed. That's why Kurt hasn't been on or Michael Lindel talking about it, but one of the defendants leaked an entire copy of the of the filing. So I guess we can talk about Kurt Olson joins us now. Kurt, why are we going back to these things that never really got traction? The audience wants to know hey, you know, this all sounds great. But wasn't this all put to bed by both a federal district court judge, a trial court judge, an appeals court? You guys have spent years in this never got any traction? Why are you bothering the Supreme Court now? Why is poor judge Roberts, I guess, got to deal with this?



Kurt Olsen_Election Lawyer 00:47

Well, two reasons. I mean, we think that the lower courts were wrong in their decisions, but perhaps more importantly, we have new evidence that supports standing. This case was dismissed by the lower court saying

that the claims about the machines were too speculative. And we have concrete evidence that's new that was not known before, where the defendants told the courts that they, these machines are certified by the Election Assistance Commission. They're software certified. We perform logic and accuracy tests and other matters, but those two issues they represent to the court that this is why the machines are safe and why the plaintiffs, Kari Lake's and Mark Finchem's claims, didn't hold water. We've uncovered three pieces of evidence:

One, in 2020 and in 2022, they used altered software, and any statement that that software is certified by the EAC is false. They falsely stated that to the court. They falsely stated that to the Arizona Senate during that audit in 2021. And they falsely stated on their website.

No. _____

In the Supreme Court of the United States

KARI LAKE AND MARK FINCHEM,
Petitioners,

v.

ADRIAN FONTES,
ARIZONA SECRETARY OF STATE, *ET AL.,*
Respondents.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF *CERTIORARI*
TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF *CERTIORARI*

<p>KURT B. OLSEN Olsen Law PC 1250 Connecticut Ave. NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 202-408-7025 ko@olsenlawpc.com</p>	<p>LAWRENCE J. JOSEPH <i>Counsel of Record</i> 1250 Connecticut Ave. NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 202-355-9452 ljoseph@larryjoseph.com <i>Counsel for Petitioners</i></p>
--	---

Second thing that was false. They say they performed logic and accuracy testing. And that's a pre-election test, designed to give people confidence that the machines will actually tabulate and read votes as they are. They did not. They did not perform logic and accuracy tests on any of the vote center tabulators they used in either the 2020 or the 2022 election. They used five spares. If you combine that with the fact that they're using altered software, and it's important to note the altered software was with respect to a configuration file called the machine behavior settings. And that goes directly to how ballots are read and tabulated.

And then there is a third piece of evidence that is perhaps, I think, the most shocking, because it doesn't apply just to Maricopa. Our cyber experts, our team, has uncovered that the master cryptographic encryption keys that are used to govern and encrypt all election data, the files and software, have been left open on the database in plain text. And what that means, if you remember the Enigma machine in World War Two, when the great find was they were able to decrypt that cipher code and then read the Germans messages. Well this is even easier, because now any malicious actor, any insider, anyone who knows to get into the into the machine, which is very easy to do, because it's just Windows login, you can bypass that within three minutes, can control an entire election can cover their tracks, so it's nearly

undetectable, can intercept any election results that are transmitted because they had the private key that allows him to decrypt that information, put, change the result? Re encrypt that information because it's essentially a god-key. This is the most basic security violation that one can have. If you talk to any cybersecurity professional – to leave the master cryptographic keys in plain text available to anybody who knows where to look – that is, it's a violation of the most basic security standards, but more importantly, it allows a malicious actor to control the entire election and do anything they want, and escape detection.

StephenK Bannon_War Room 04:24

... Good sorry about that. How did this actually happen? How did you? How did they actually keep it in plain sight, and nobody caught this? How did, none of the investigators, even the Maricopa County guys added Murdoch's lawyers, because correct me from wrong. This could have gotten Murdoch off of \$800 million fine, would it have not?

Kurt Olsen_Election Lawyer 04:43

This is it, it certainly would have thrown a big monkey wrench into that settlement. Because again, you can control an entire – any malicious actor can control – an entire election. This was found because election databases in four Georgia counties were produced pursuant to public records requests. And so our team started going through that, and one of those individuals came, stumbled upon, these cryptographic keys: they're called a Rondelle key, and X 509 security certificate, and an HMAC key. And you, with this, you can control an entire election start to finish. You can put your own results. You can change the configuration files, you can change what the tabulators do. You can intercept communications. You can put your own results on, and then place them on, the server and put a fake election if you wanted to, re encrypt that, and it would be almost impossible to detect. And we have seen this on every system that we've been able to inspect across the country, whether it's Maricopa, Georgia, Michigan and Antrim County, Pennsylvania, Fulton County, Pennsylvania. And what's what is even just as stunning is that Dominion, these are all on Dominion machines, and they represent in their contract that they protect election data with AES-Level 256 bit encryption, which is the standard. AES stands for the Advanced Encryption Standard. So they represent in their contract that they protect this data with this encryption, yet the keys are left open and unprotected on the election database for anybody who knows where to look that can gain access to the system, which is any insider, and anybody can bypass the Windows login. You can go on YouTube and find, defeat the, necessary tools to bypass Windows login. And certainly a nation state actor would have no problem. And so this is a, this is one of the most incredible security breaches. I think any cybersecurity professional would would agree. And it's everywhere.

StephenK Bannon_War Room 06:48

The first two charts, the first two things you say are new evidence, even in the blog, the people at Maricopa County and others are saying, you don't really have any new evidence. What's your counter to that?

Kurt Olsen_Election Lawyer 07:01

What they're trying to do is to, they're trying to get ahead of the narrative. This is totally new, new evidence. It's never been before any court that they are using altered software and that their software, their software that they told the court was certified by the EAC, in fact is altered software. That's a false statement to the court. Same thing with logic and accuracy. They told the federal district court who dismissed this case, that we are, we do logic and accuracy testing in compliance with Arizona law. So therefore the machines are safe, there's nothing to see here. That was a false statement. So both those two pieces are absolutely new evidence. And the master cryptographic key disclosure is also brand new evidence that has never been introduced in any court.

StephenK Bannon_War Room 07:51

So this right now is being, going on the docket the official way that and that one thing that doesn't mean they're going to hear it that don't mean you're accepted just a technical process so they can put it out although we're pushing out right now because the defendants put it out a couple hours ago. What is the process now this if this Supreme Court even picks this up? What has to happen? Is it a judgment of one one, justice? Is it have to go before the entire panel? Would, tell us what goes on to see if this actually gets real, and you get a chance to present this evidence and argument in the Supreme Court.

Kurt Olsen_Election Lawyer 08:24

Well, first of all, we will be filing a motion for expedited consideration, and we are going to attach the evidence that underpins the new allegations in this petition for writ of certiorari. And it will go into the into the petition for writ, and the motion the defendants will have an opportunity to respond to that motion for expedited consideration. But at the end of the day, the Supreme Court will decide whether they want to take it up. And then from there, they may order briefing. We have, they may order a ruling straight from the papers based on the information that we're going to be putting out next week. So it really depends, there's a number of ways this

...

StephenK Bannon_War Room 09:09

Are you waiting until it goes on docket officially to then file the expedited petition? is that going to be after it goes on docket?

Kurt Olsen_Election Lawyer

Yes.

StephenK Bannon_War Room

So that'll be sometime next week you think?

Kurt Olsen_Election Lawyer

Correct.

StephenK Bannon_War Room

Okay, perfect. What else should we know about this? We can go find it right here right now. Grayson, Mo and Carly Bonet. If you guys can push it out. It's all there for you to read. What else should we know about this before we punch?

Kurt Olsen_Election Lawyer 09:36

I think that the people should focus on the description of the new evidence that's in the complaint. It is stunning evidence. The fact that Maricopa used altered software in an election twice. And here's the rub. We brought this case before the 2022 midterms. The judge dismissed that case based saying it was too speculative in August. We have the 2022 midterm, and over 60% of the machines fail. These machines were rejecting ballots at a rate of 7000 rejections every 30 minutes from 6:30am to 8pm. And Maricopa county officials called it a hiccup in court. And so that was an absolute disaster. And since then, we found evidence of malware on the printers that were used with the ballots and also that the tabulators had rejected ballots at this same rate anyway. The bottom line is, look at the evidence, it's new, don't believe anything when they say is yesterday's news. That is completely false.

StephenK Bannon_War Room 10:43

Current, current, do you have social media? We gotta punch for do you have social media people can follow you?

Kurt Olsen_Election Lawyer

On True Social

#####

Summary Transcript

Kurt Olsen and Stephen K. Bannon discussed new evidence of election security breaches in Maricopa County, Arizona, including altered software and unprotected encryption keys. Olsen highlighted how the county used altered software in the 2020 midterms and 2022 midterms, resulting in 7000 rejections every 30 minutes, contradicting previous claims that the allegations were 'yesterday's news.'

Action Items

- File a motion for expedited consideration of the petition for writ of certiorari with the Supreme Court, attaching evidence supporting the new allegations.
- The defendants will have an opportunity to respond to the motion for expedited consideration.
- The Supreme Court will decide whether to take up the case.
- If accepted, the Supreme Court may order briefing or rule straight from the papers filed.
- The petition for writ of certiorari will be filed sometime next week after it is officially on the docket.

Outline

Election lawsuit with new evidence.

- Kurt Olsen explains why they're taking the Lake issue to the Supreme Court despite lower courts' dismissal: new evidence of machine certification.

Election security vulnerabilities in Maricopa County, Arizona.

- Kurt Olsen, election lawyer, reveals three pieces of evidence of election fraud in Maricopa County, Arizona, including altered software and unsecured cryptographic encryption keys.
- Olsen's team found that the master cryptographic keys were left in plain text, allowing any malicious actor to control the entire election and intercept results.

Election security vulnerabilities and new evidence in Arizona.

- Election databases and security certificates found to be vulnerable to manipulation.
- Election lawyer Kurt Olsen presents new evidence of altered software and false statements to the court in Maricopa County, Arizona.

Election fraud case in Arizona.

- Kurt Olsen, election lawyer, discusses new evidence in Arizona election case, including altered software and malware on printers and tabulators, and how Maricopa county officials downplayed issues in court.
- Olsen emphasizes the importance of focusing on the new evidence and not believing claims that it's "yesterday's news" or "completely false."

#####